



<u>Tab # /</u> <u>Time</u>	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>
-------------------------------	-------------------------	---------------

**CALTRANS REPORT**

4.           • Report by Caltrans' Director and/or Deputy Director           1.6  
              -- Update on Project Estimating Practice Improvement  
              Efforts

Caltrans Director Will Kempton welcomed Senator Lowenthal and John Barna. Director Kempton discussed the Governor's proposed 2006-07 budget, the Russian River Bridge, and provided a project expenditure update noting that total expenditures will be higher next year. Director Kempton noted that each of the District Directors had met the goals of his or her delivery contract. He discussed the results of the Caltrans-Commission cost estimating meeting and hoped that with other meetings scheduled in March, the benefits of these efforts would go a long way toward correcting the supplemental allocation problem.

Director Kempton discussed the Washington State lawsuit regarding the DBE program, and that he intends to have the Department do a study regarding the State's race conscious program, stating that they do not have any facts and figures to show that the program is necessary and that in order to continue with the program they need to back up that decision with the proper information.

Director Kempton introduced Gregg Albright as the new Deputy Director of Planning and Modal Programs. He thanked Joan Sollenberger for the good job she did as the Acting Deputy Director and noted that she will be going to BT&H on an interim assignment to fill in as Deputy Secretary for Transportation. Director Kempton said that Mike Leanardo would be leaving as District 6 Director to go to the City of Clovis as the new Public Works Director. Director Kempton also introduced and welcomed the new Deputy Director for Maintenance and Operations, Mike Miles.

- **Provide the status of federal reimbursement for storm damage information to Commissioners and staff.**

**ACTION: Ross Chittenden**

5.           • Federal Reauthorization Bill – SAFETEA-LU           4.1b.

There was no discussion of this item.

6.           • Caltrans Monthly FY 2005-06 Finance Report           3.1

Norma Ortega, Caltrans, reviewed items #6 and #7 together and discussed the balance in each transportation account. There was discussion regarding the timing of fund transfers between the PTA and SHA accounts and a request for explanation of what is meant by "higher than forecast expenditures" in the TIF account. The Commissioners requested additional information be provided about the GARVEE projects. Based on the proposed Governor's budget, the Commission inquired if additional allocation capacity would be available. The Commissioners requested that copies of presentations be made available in the Commission Book or at least as handouts at the meetings.

- **Provide more information to the Commissioners and staff regarding accelerated program expenditures.**

**ACTION: Norma Ortega**

- **Provide Commissioners and staff with an update on the GARVEE program. Also provide an updated cash forecast.**

**ACTION: Ross Chittenden**

<u>Tab # / Time</u>	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>
-------------------------	-------------------------	---------------

- |    |   |      |
|----|---|------|
| 7. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Presentation of the Governor's Proposed FY 2006-07 Budget</li> </ul> | 4.10 |
|----|---|------|

See item #6.

- **Provide Commissioners and staff with a hard copy of the presentation on Governor's budget as presented at the Commission meeting.**

**ACTION: Ross Chittenden**

- **Report back at the March Commission meeting with recommendations on allocations.**

**ACTION: John Barna/David Brewer**

- |    |  |      |
|----|--|------|
| 8. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Presentation by BTH Agency and Caltrans on the Strategic Growth Plan - <i>Go California</i> Performance Criteria</li> </ul> | 4.12 |
|----|--|------|

This item was heard on Day Two of the Meeting, February 2, 2006.

BTH Secretary Sunne McPeak provided an overview of the Governor's Strategic Growth Plan and stated that GO California has been rolled into the Plan. Secretary McPeak discussed the amount of funding and its purpose. She also discussed the performance measures and working with the Commission.

Caltrans Director Will Kempton thanked Secretary McPeak for the overview and noted that he has personally spoken to the regions and locals regarding the proposal and the elements of the Goods Movement portions of the Plan. They are looking for economic stimulus and he, as well as the Department look forward to working with all the stakeholders and the Commission.

- **Review with the Executive Committee and prepare a response by March 10 to Senator Don Perata's letter outlining the Commission's policy concerns regarding the Governor's transportation bonding proposal, including goods movement, and share with entire Legislature.**

**ACTION: John Barna/David Brewer**

### **ADJOURN**

The meeting of the California Transportation Commission adjourned at 5:05 p.m.

### **Thursday, February 2, 2006**

8:30 a.m.

**COMMISSION MEETING**  
**Secretary of State**  
**First Floor Auditorium**  
**1500 11<sup>th</sup> Street,**  
**Sacramento, California**

### **GENERAL BUSINESS**

- |    |   |     |
|----|---|-----|
| 1. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Roll Call</li> </ul> | 1.1 |
|----|---|-----|

The meeting of the California Transportation Commission was called to order at 8:35 a.m. on February 2, 2006, at the Secretary of State, First Floor Auditorium, 1500 11<sup>th</sup> Street, Sacramento, California.

<u>Tab # /</u> <u>Time</u>	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>
-------------------------------	-------------------------	---------------

Roll call was conducted. The Commissioners in attendance were as follows:

Members Present: Joseph Tavaglione, Chair  
Bob Balgenorth  
Marian Bergeson  
John Chalker  
James Ghielmetti  
Allen Lawrence  
R. Kirk Lindsey  
Esteban Torres

Members Absent: Jeremiah Hallisey, Vice Chair  
Assemblymember Jenny Oropeza, Ex-Officio  
Senator Alan S. Lowenthal, Ex-Officio

- |    |  |     |
|----|--|-----|
| 9. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Commission Reports</li> <li>  -- Draft Operating Procedures</li> <li>  -- Election of Officers</li> <li>  -- Revised Meeting Location Schedule</li> </ul> | 1.3 |
|----|--|-----|

Commissioner Ghielmetti said that the Real Estate Advisory Panel had its first meeting on January 11, 2006 and would meet again on March 1st.

Chair Tavaglione discussed the trip to Omaha with Director Kempton and Ms. Rhinehart, Caltrans, to meet with the Union Pacific to discuss right-of-way issues, intercity rail on time performance and other issues of concern. The meeting went well.

Chair Tavaglione moved into the Election of Officers. Commissioner Lindsey thanked Chair Tavaglione for a good year and moved to nominate Commissioner Bergeson for Chair and Commissioner Ghielmetti as Vice Chair. Commissioner Lawrence seconded the motion, which passed 8-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent) Commissioner Lawrence asked about the term of office being from March 1, 2006 through February 2007. Chair Tavaglione said that was correct.

Chair Tavaglione read over the revised meeting schedule. Commissioner Ghielmetti mentioned that last December there were problems with hotel reservations in San Francisco. Commissioner Torres moved to accept the revised meeting schedule. Commissioner Lawrence seconded the motion, which carried 8-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

Executive Director John Barna reviewed the Commission's Operating Procedures saying staff is working on getting them to line up with regulations and statutes. They still need some clean up and suggests that they be brought back to the Commission at a later date. Commissioner Lawrence said that he would like to understand the differences between the Operating Procedures and the Bylaws. Commissioner Bergeson said that having statutory and regulatory requirements will require an annual review. Mr. Barna said that the statute describes how the election of officers is to take place. Commissioner Lindsey said that when he read through the proposed statutes and bylaws he found a discrepancy regarding how and when the Chair is appointed. Chair Tavaglione asked the Commission for suggestions. Commissioner Bergeson suggested that a subcommittee be appointed to review the Operating Procedures. Commissioner Ghielmetti said he agreed with Commissioner Bergeson and that a subcommittee should be appointed to review the documents and then come back to the full Commission with a recommendation.

- **Update the Commission's letterhead, rosters, web information, nameplates, and business cards to reflect the names of the newly appointed Chair and Vice Chair effective March 1, 2006.**

**ACTION: Mary Lea Filbert**

Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
-----------------	------------------	--------

- Distribute and have posted on web the Revised 2006 Meeting Schedule as adopted at the February Commission meeting.

**ACTION: Mary Lea Filbert**

- Set up a subcommittee to clean up the Commission's operating procedures and review the Administrative Law process and report back at a future Commission meeting.

**ACTION: John Barna/Maura Twomey**

10.                    • Commissioners' Meetings for Compensation                    1.4

Chair Tavaglione asked for approval.

Commissioner Lindsey moved approval of the Meetings for Compensation for December and January. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 8-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

### **REGIONAL AGENCIES AND RURAL COUNTIES REPORT**

11.                    • Report by Regional Agencies Moderator                    1.7

Steve VanDenburgh, Regional Agency Moderator, thanked the Governor, the Administration, Secretary McPeak, and Director Kempton for their support in the 2006-07 budget for transportation. The RTPAs support the permanent protection of Proposition 42, support that funds through bonding should be through a collaborative process, support public private partnerships, and support the use of design-build contracts. Mr. VanDenburgh said that he'd respond to other items as they appear on the agenda.

12.                    • Report by Rural Counties Task Force Chair                    1.8

Mike Woodman, Vice Chair for the Rural Counties Task Force, said that he would reserve his comments on the Governor's Strategic Growth Plan until later, and would respond to items as they appear on the agenda.

13.                    • Informational Report on Emergency: G-11 Allocations.                    2.5f.(1)  
Seven Projects totaling \$3,140,000.

This item was heard on Day One of the Meeting, February 1, 2006.

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, reviewed the informational report on Emergency G-11s, discussing the reasons for the emergencies. The Commissioners indicated that they expect the Department to handle emergencies in an expeditious way. If too much time elapses between the incident and allocation of funds the funds should be allocated by the Commission and not by the Department under delegated Emergency G-11 authority.

14.                    • Informational Report on Supplemental Funds for                    2.5f.(5)  
Previously Voted Projects: G-05-04 Allocations. Eight  
Projects totaling \$4,272,000.

This item was heard on Day One of the Meeting, February 1, 2006.

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, reviewed the Supplemental Funds report noting that all eight supplemental allocations were for SHOPP projects allocated through the use of the Commission's delegation to its Executive Director. Mr. Chittenden indicated the supplemental allocations were needed to cover higher than expected concrete and roadway excavation costs.

- Review with staff and report back regarding delegated supplementals. The amount approved is greater than what is being requested.

**ACTION: John Barna**

<u>Tab # / Time</u>	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>
-------------------------	-------------------------	---------------

15.           • Status of Construction Contract Award for State Highway Projects, per FY 2005-06 Allocation Plan Criteria           3.3

This item was heard on Day One of the Meeting, February 1, 2006.

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, reviewed the item, noting that of the 64 state highway projects allocated at the July 2005 meeting 50 have been awarded, 12 will seek time extensions under other agenda items this month, and 2 have had their allocations rescinded and will be reprogrammed. Of the 61 state highway projects allocated at the August 2005 meeting 38 have been awarded and any project past its 6-month award deadline will seek an extension at the March 2006 meeting.

16.           • Status of Construction Contract Award for Local Assistance STIP Projects, per FY 2005-06 Allocation Plan Criteria           3.6

This item was heard on Day One of the Meeting, February 1, 2006.

Terry Abbott, Caltrans, reviewed the item saying that this report is for STIP projects that received an allocation in July, August, and September 2005. Thirteen of the 33 projects totaling \$5 million have been awarded. Ten of the remaining 20 projects are expected to be awarded within the 6-month time frame. Five of the projects were granted time extensions at the December 2005 Commission meeting. Requests for time extensions for 4 remaining projects are on the February 2006 agenda. Several of the Commissioners asked questions regarding the projects that need time extensions. Mr. Abbott said that they are being discussed under item 89 later in the meeting.

17.           • Status of Construction Contract Award for Traffic Congestion Relief Program Projects, per FY 2005-06 Allocation Plan Criteria           3.7

This item was heard on Day One of the Meeting, February 1, 2006.

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, reported on the TCRP projects that received an allocation in July, August and September 2005. A total of 20 projects received allocations for a total of \$483 million. Of the 20 projects, one is requesting an allocation of supplemental funds at this meeting, and one project is delayed due to pending legal contract challenges.

18.           • Final Project Development and Right of Way Expenditures on State Transportation Improvement Program Projects           3.11

This item was added to the Information Calendar.

19.           • Report on Design-Sequencing Pilot Program           4.8

This item was heard on Day One of the Meeting, February 1, 2006.

Mark Leja, Caltrans, reviewed the item saying that in Phase 1 there are 10 projects, of which 7 are completed and 3 are still in construction. Phase 2 has an additional 12 projects, 4 are construction ready, 1 has been advertised. The Department set up 10 control projects to compare costs on design-sequencing versus design-bid-build. Based on the to date completed design-sequencing projects, the Department has found little measurable difference between design-bid-build and the design-sequence methods. There is a similar increase in capital costs on design-sequencing projects, approximately 3%-5%. Design-sequencing has also had slightly higher support costs (2-6% higher) but the Department is still gathering information.

Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
-----------------	------------------	--------

- Provide copies of the annual report on Design-Sequencing Pilot Program to Commissioners and staff. In the future, provide more clarity on these reports.

**ACTION: Greg Wong/Rick Land**

- Provide letter to Commissioners and staff with information to include time and money values on delivering design-sequencing projects early and late.

**ACTION: Ross Chittenden**

- |     |  |     |
|-----|--|-----|
| 20. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Report on Support Costs Exceeding Capital Costs/District Consistency</li> </ul> | 4.9 |
|-----|--|-----|

This item was heard on Day One of the Meeting, February 1, 2006.

David Youmans, Caltrans, reviewed the item on the minor program and delivery costs. Mr. Youmans indicated that the Department is developing a support work budget for each minor project.

**INFORMATION CALENDAR**

IC

This item was heard on Day One of the Meeting, February 1, 2006.

Deputy Director Stephen Maller presented the Information Calendar agenda items #21 through #25. Item #18 was added to the information calendar.

- |     |  |          |
|-----|--|----------|
| 21. | <b>IC</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Informational Report on SHOPP Safety: G-03-10 Allocations. Three Projects for \$7,526,000.</li> </ul> | 2.5f.(3) |
|-----|--|----------|

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

- |     |   |          |
|-----|---|----------|
| 22. | <b>IC</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Informational Report on Minor Projects: G-05-05 Allocations. Seven Projects totaling \$2,969,000.</li> </ul> | 2.5f.(4) |
|-----|---|----------|

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

- |     |   |     |
|-----|---|-----|
| 23. | <b>IC</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Monthly Report on Status of Projects Previously Voted but Not Awarded</li> </ul> | 3.2 |
|-----|---|-----|

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

- Provide the Commissioners and staff the value awarded to the Monthly Status of Projects Previously Voted not Awarded report.

**ACTION: Ross Chittenden**

- |     |  |     |
|-----|--|-----|
| 24. | <b>IC</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Monthly Report on Projects Amended into the SHOPP by Department Action</li> </ul> | 3.4 |
|-----|--|-----|

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

- |     |   |     |
|-----|---|-----|
| 25. | <b>IC</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Monthly Report on Local Assistance STIP Projects Previously Voted but not Awarded</li> </ul> | 3.5 |
|-----|---|-----|

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
-----------------	------------------	--------

**CONSENT CALENDAR**

CC

This item was heard on Day One of the Meeting, February 1, 2006.

Deputy Director Robert Chung recommended approving Consent Calendar items #26 through #56 with the following notations or modifications: Add item #65 to the Consent Calendar, items #39, 44, 45, 46, 52, and 54 have recommended changes and corrections as noted on the pink – “Changes to CTC Agenda”. Items #27, 34, and 65 were pulled from the Consent Calendar and discussed separately.

Commissioner Lindsey moved to approve the Consent Calendar, as modified by staff. Commissioner Torres seconded the motion, which carried 6-0. (Commissioners Balgenorth, Hallisey and Lawrence were absent)

- 26. CC** • STIP Amendment 04S-082 2.1a.(1)  
 The Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA) is requesting this amendment. OCTA proposes to change the implementing agency for the R/W and Construction components on the Oso Parkway Storage Lane and Interchange Improvement project (PPNO 2671A) from the Department to OCTA.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 27. CC** • STIP Amendment 04S-083 2.1a.(2)  
 The Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA) is requesting this amendment. OCTA proposes to change the implementing agency for the R/W and Construction components on the Culver Drive Southbound Off-Ramp Widening project (PPNO 2796) on Route 5 from the Department to OCTA and also proposes to revise the RIP as follows: a net decrease of \$198,000 in FY 2004-05; a net increase of \$181,000 in FY 2005-06; and a net increase of \$246,000 in FY 2006-07, for a total increase of \$229,000 in the RIP. This will increase the total project cost from \$1,959,000 to \$2,188,000.

This item had been placed on the Consent Calendar and then was removed for discussion.

Commissioner Lindsey asked about the cost increase on the project. Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, and Jennifer Berin, OCTA, said that the increase is due to right of way costs reflecting the current market.

Commissioner Lindsey moved to approve the STIP Amendment. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 6-0. (Commissioners Balgenorth, Hallisey and Lawrence were absent)

- 28 CC** • The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority requests a TCRP Application Amendment to update the project schedule and funding plan for Project # 37.2 – LRT System along Exposition Blvd. 2.1c.(1)  
*(Related items under 2.6e.(1) and 2.6e.(2).)*  
 Resolution TAA-06-01, Amending Resolution TAA-05-33

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.



Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
29. CC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The San Diego Metropolitan Transit Development Board requests a TCRP Application Amendment to revise the project scope, and update the project schedule and funding plan for Project # 75.2 – San Diego Transit Buses; Acquire CNG buses and passenger transit vans. <i>(Related item under 2.6e.(1).)</i></li> </ul> Resolution TAA-06-02, Amending Resolution TA-01-11	2.1c.(2)
This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.		
30. CC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Department requests a TCRP Application Amendment to revise the project scope and update the project schedule for Project #93 – Route 180; environmental studies to extend Route 180 from <del>Mendota</del> <b>Fresno</b> to I-5. <i>(Related item under 2.6e.(3).)</i></li> </ul> Resolution TAA-06-03, Amending Resolution TA-01-03	2.1c.(3)
This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.		
31. CC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Department requests a TCRP Application Amendment to redistribute \$424,000 in TCRP funds from R/W to PS&amp;E, and update the project schedule and funding plan for Project #95 – Route 41; add auxiliary lane and improve ramps at Friant Road interchange. <i>(Related items under 2.6e.(3) and 2.6e.(5).)</i></li> </ul> Resolution TAA-06-04, Amending Resolution TA-02-13	2.1c.(4)
This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.		
32. CC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Sacramento Regional Transit District requests a TCRP Application Amendment to program \$3,000,000 in new TCRP funds, revise the project scope, and update the project schedule and funding plan for Project #115 – South Line Light Rail. <i>(Related item under 2.6e.(4).)</i></li> </ul> Resolution TAA-06-05, Amending Resolution TAA-03-08	2.1c.(5)
This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.		
33. CC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Department requests a TCRP Application Amendment to redistribute \$5,000,000 from PA&amp;ED to PS&amp;E, and update the project schedule and funding plan for Project #15 – Route 24; Caldecott Tunnel; add fourth bore tunnel with additional lanes. <i>(Related item under 2.6e.(5).)</i></li> </ul> Resolution TAA-06-06, Amending Resolution TAA-05-04	2.1c.(6)
This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.		

Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
-----------------	------------------	--------

34. CC • The Alameda Contra Costa Transit District requests a TCRP Application Amendment to revise the project scope, and update the project schedule and funding plan for Project #29 – AC Transit; buy three fuel cell buses and fueling facility for demonstration project. 2.1c.(7)  
*(Related item under 2.6e (3).)*  
 Resolution TAA-06-07, Amending Resolution TAA-04-06

This item was considered on Day Two of the Meeting, February 2, 2006.

The item had been placed on the Consent Calendar and then was removed for discussion.

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, provided a brief review of the project. Jaimie Levin from ACCTD provided a summary. Commissioner Ghielmetti said that they need to educate the tax payers not the children. Commissioner Bergeson said that kids do a better job of educating their parents, who would then advocate for more private funding.

Commissioner Bergeson moved to approve the project. Commissioner Torres seconded the motion, which carried 7-1. Commissioner Lawrence voted no. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

35. CC • Route 20 in Colusa and Sutter Counties – Rehabilitate roadway near Colusa (ND) 2.2c.(1)  
 Resolution E-06-01

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

36. CC • Route 101 in Mendocino County – Realign highway near Leggett (FEIR) *(Related item under 2.3a.)* 2.2c.(2)  
 Resolution E-06-02

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

37. CC • Route Adopting a Traversable Highway at 01-Men-101 KP 159.2/162.2 (PM 98.9/100.8) in County of Mendocino 2.3a.  
*(Related item under 2.2c.(2).)*  
 Resolution HRA-06-01

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
38. CC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Four Relinquishment Resolutions</li> <li> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ 2-The-36-PM 38.4 Route 36 in the County of Tehama Resolution R-3629</li> <li>■ 2-Mod-299-PM 21.6/22.3 (KP 34.8/35.9) Route 299 in the County of Modoc Resolution R-3630</li> <li>■ 2-Sha-299-PM 67.8/77.9 Route 299 in the County of Shasta Resolution R-3631</li> <li>■ 3-But-70-PM 0.2/9.1 (KP 0.32/14.64) Route 70 in the County of Butte Resolution R-3632</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.</p>	2.3c.
39. CC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 34 32 Resolutions of Necessity Resolutions C-19237 through C-19241 and C-19244 through C-19270</li> </ul> <p>This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.</p>	2.4b.
40. CC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Airspace Lease Direct Negotiations – Request for Approval of Long-Term Airspace Lease Terms with Madison Park Partners II</li> </ul> <p>This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.</p>	2.4c.
41. CC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Director's Deeds</li> <li> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Items #1 through #13 Excess Lands – Return to State: \$357,900 Return to Others: \$0</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.</p>	2.4d.(1)
42. CC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rescinding Resolution Melvin Deaile and Louise Deaile, Co-Trustees of the Deaile Family Trust, etc., et al. 06-Fre-180- PM 53.9 Resolution CR-136, Rescinding Resolution G-19154</li> </ul> <p>This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.</p>	2.4e.
43. CC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Financial Allocation for One Minor Project for \$203,000. Resolution FP-05-52</li> </ul> <p>This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.</p>	2.5a.

Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
44. CC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for <del>43</del> 12 SHOPP Projects totaling <del>\$178,304,000</del> \$161,150,000. Resolution FP-05-53</li> </ul>	2.5b.(1)
This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.		
45. CC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for <del>48</del> 14 Projects totaling <del>\$34,269,000</del> \$25,546,000 amended into the SHOPP by Departmental action. Resolution FP-05-54</li> </ul>	2.5b.(2)
This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.		
46. CC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Two Locally-Administered STIP Projects on the State Highway System totaling \$983,000. Resolution FP-05-59</li> </ul>	2.5c.(2)
This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.		
47. CC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for One Locally-Administered STIP Project off the State Highway System for \$118,000, plus \$472,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-05-55</li> </ul>	2.5c.(3)
This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.		
48. CC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for One State-Administered STIP Transportation Enhancement Project for \$373,000. Resolution FP-05-60</li> </ul>	2.5c.(4)
This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.		
49. CC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Five Locally-Administered STIP Transportation Enhancement Projects totaling \$1,174,000, plus \$265,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-05-56</li> </ul>	2.5c.(5)
This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.		
50. CC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for One Locally-Administered STIP Project off the State Highway System for \$390,000, plus \$1,560,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-05-57</li> </ul>	2.5c.(7)
This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.		
51. CC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for One Locally-Administered STIP Rail/Transit Project for \$3,573,000. Resolution MFP-05-10</li> </ul>	2.6a.(1)
This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.		

Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
52. CC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for One STIP Rail/Transit Project for \$125,000. Resolution MFP-05-11</li> </ul>	2.6a.(2)
This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.		
53. CC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for One Local Proposition 116 Rail Program Project for \$540,955 for the Newhall Yard Siding Extension. Resolution BFP-05-06</li> </ul>	2.6b.
This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.		
54. CC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial allocation for One TCRP project for \$14,000,000 to liquidate One previously approved AB 1335 Letter of No Prejudice (LONP). <i>(Items under 2.1c.(1).) and 2.6e (1).)</i> Resolution TFP-06-01</li> </ul>	2.6e.(2)
This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.		
55. CC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Re-allocation totaling \$8,378,000 in lapsing TCRP funds for Three TCRP Projects. <i>(Related Item under 2.1c.(5).)</i> Resolution TFP-06-02</li> </ul>	2.6e.(3)
This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.		
56. CC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation Amendment for Two TCRP Projects, no net change in funding. Resolution TFP-06-03</li> </ul>	2.6e.(5)
This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.		
57.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Report on Toll Bridge Program Oversight Committee Activity</li> </ul>	3.8
This item was heard on Day One of the Meeting, February 1, 2006.		
Deputy Director Stephen Maller provided the report on the Toll Bridge Program Oversight Committee's (TPOC) activities. Mr. Maller said that the TPOC's quarterly report to the Legislature and the CTC will be out by February 14, 2006. Mr. Maller also indicated the TBPOC postponed the original SAS bid opening date from February 1, 2006 to March 22, 2006 in response to bidder requests.		
58.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Update on the I-5 South Widening in Los Angeles</li> </ul>	3.10
Doug Failing, Caltrans District 7 Director, reviewed the existing cross-sections, current schedule, current project status, and the status of adjacent projects. Councilmember Ramos Funale thanked the Commission for its support in the project. Commissioner Lindsey asked where the air conformity mitigation fit into the whole process. Mr. Failing said that the air mitigation is not as big of an issue on the I-5 project as it will be on the 710 project where there are more trucks. Commissioner Torres commended Mr. Failing and Councilmember Funale.		

Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
-----------------	------------------	--------

59. • I-710 Gateways Major Investment Study 3.9

This item was withdrawn prior to the meeting.

60. • Report on Temporary Access Extension in Fresno County 2.4f.

This item was heard on Day One of the Meeting, February 1, 2006.

Bimla Rhinehart, Caltrans, discussed the temporary access to Mr. Sparks' property in Fresno County. As a result of the Resolution of Necessity appearance at the Commission's December 2005 meeting, Mr. Sparks requested an extension of access rights to March 1, 2006. The Department continued to work with Mr. Sparks. However, eminent domain proceedings have started. Caltrans Legal Division in District 6 is in contact with Mr. Sparks regarding the access as part of the litigation.

61. • STIP/SHOPP Pending Allocation List 4.2a.  
14 SHOPP Projects totaling \$35,290,000; 96 STIP Projects totaling \$40,857,000.

Deputy Director Stephen Maller reviewed the item. No Action was taken.

62. • TCRP Pending Allocations 4.2c.  
Five Projects totaling \$62,030,000

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, reviewed the item. No Action was taken.

63. • Aeronautics Account Pending Allocations 4.2b.  
15 Projects totaling \$2,797,200

Mary Frederick, Caltrans, reviewed the item. No Action was taken.

64. • Adoption of the 2005 Policy Element of the California Aviation System Plan 4.3

Mary Frederick, Caltrans, reviewed and recommended approval of the item. Commissioner Lindsey asked about the land use policy element. Commissioner Bergeson requested a map of all airports and connections to get a feel for what the air transportation is in the state.

Commissioner Lindsey moved to approve the Plan. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 8-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

- **Provide the Commissioners and staff with a map of the airports and include an explanation of the relationship between the different agencies.**

**ACTION: Ross Chittenden/Greg Wong**

Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
-----------------	------------------	--------

65.                   • Six-month Estimate of Loan Capacity under AB 1012 Approval of Funding Level Resolution G-05-\_\_\_ 4.5

This item was heard on Day One of the Meeting, February 1, 2006.

This item had been placed on the Consent Calendar and then was removed for discussion. Commissioner Lindsey asked about the available loan capacity and impacts on the SHA cash balance. Ms. Norma Ortega offered to bring the information back at the next Commission meeting.

Commissioner Lindsey moved to bring the item back at the March 2006 meeting. Commissioner Chalker seconded the motion, which carried 6-0. (Commissioners Balgenorth, Hallisey and Lawrence were absent)

- **Return at the March meeting with a rewritten report including additional information as requested by Commissioners.**

**ACTION: Ross Chittenden/Stephen Maller**

66.                   • Presentation of 2006 Aeronautics Fund Estimate 4.4

Norma Ortega, Caltrans, reviewed the background and the Aeronautics Fund Estimate, including updates to the assumptions and that the Fund Estimate would be brought back for adoption by the Commission at its next meeting.

67.                   • **Resolutions of Necessity – Appearance** 2.4a.(1)  
-- Citibank, FSB, a Federal Savings Bank,  
successor in interest to Cenfed Bank, etc., et al.  
12-Ora-90-PM 12.05  
Resolution C-19233

Stephen Maller reviewed the statutory authority for items 67, 68, 69, and 70. In adopting a Resolution of Necessity the Commission must determine that (1) public interest and necessity require the project, (2) the proposed project is planned or located in a manner that is most compatible with the greatest public good and the least private injury, and (3) the property described in the resolution is necessary for the proposed project. Under State law the Commission does not determine the amount or value of compensation.

Items 67, 68, and 69 were heard together. Mr. Maller stated the Homeowners Association's attorney indicated in writing that he would not appear at the meeting and that in lieu of appearing his letter expressing his client's concerns be entered into the record. Mr. Maller requested that Chair Tavaglione inquire if there was anyone in the audience who wanted to address the Commission on Agenda Items 67 through 69.

Chair Tavaglione asked if there was anyone in the audience interested in addressing the Commission on these items. There was no response from the audience.

Commissioner Lindsey moved to approve the resolutions of necessity under Agenda Items 67, 68, and 69. Commissioner Lawrence seconded the motion, which carried 8-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

68.                   • **Resolutions of Necessity – Appearance** 2.4a.(2)  
-- Citibank, FSB, a Federal Savings Bank,  
successor in interest to Cenfed Bank, etc., et al.  
12-Ora-90-PM 12.24  
Resolution C-19234

See Agenda Item #67.

<u>Tab # / Time</u>	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>
69.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Resolutions of Necessity – Appearance</b>  -- Citibank, FSB, a Federal Savings Bank,  successor in interest to Cenfed Bank, etc., et al.  12-Ora-90-PM 11.80/12.43  Resolution C-19235</li> </ul>	2.4a.(3)

See Agenda Item #67.

70.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Resolutions of Necessity – Appearance</b>  -- Jefferson M. Hunt, et ux.  11-SD-125-PM 9.3  Resolution C-19236</li> </ul>	2.4a.(4)
-----	--	----------

Stephen Maller reported that the Hunt Family attorney submitted a letter to the Commission advising that the Hunt Family and the Department reached a mutually acceptable settlement and formally withdrew any and all objections to the adoption of the resolution of necessity..

Commissioner Lawrence moved approval of the resolution of necessity under this Agenda Item. Commissioner Bergeson seconded the motion, which carried 8-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

71.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Amendment 2.1c.(8)  Approval. One application amendment to de-program  \$5,500,000 in TCRP funding and revise the project  funding plan for Project #32.8 – North Coast Railroad;  Sub-paragraph (h) fund repayment of federal loan  obligations (Q-fund).  <i>(Related item under 2.6e.(6).)</i>  Resolution TAA-06-01, Amending Resolution TA-00-01</li> </ul>	
-----	---	--

This item was withdrawn prior to the meeting.

72.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Allocation  Amendment. One allocation amendment to de-allocate  \$5,500,000 in TCRP funding.  <i>(Related item under 2.1c.(8).)</i>  Resolution TFP-06-04</li> </ul>	2.6e.(6)
-----	---	----------

This item was withdrawn prior to the meeting.

73.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Financial allocation for Two Projects totaling  \$215,800,000 in new TCRP funds.  Resolution TFP-06-__</li> </ul>	2.6e.(1)
-----	--	----------

Commissioner Chalker recused himself from the item.

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, reviewed the item for two allocation requests totaling \$215.8 million in new Traffic Congestion Relief Program funds.

Commissioner Bergeson moved to place the two allocation requests on the pending allocation list. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Chalker recused himself from the item, and Commissioner Hallisey was absent)



<u>Tab # /</u> <u>Time</u>	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>
-------------------------------	-------------------------	---------------

74. • Financial Allocation for One TCRP Project for \$3,000,000 in new TCRP funding. (Related item under 2.1c.(3).)  
Resolution TFP-06-\_\_ 2.6e.(4)

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, reviewed the item for one allocation request totaling \$3 million in new Traffic Congestion Relief Program funds.

Commissioner Ghielmetti moved to place the two allocation requests on the pending allocation list. Commissioner Bergeson seconded the motion, which carried 8-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

- |            |  |          |
|------------|--|----------|
|            | <b>Director's Deed</b>   |          |
| <b>75.</b> | -- Item #1   | 2.4d.(2) |
|            | Excess Lands - Return to State: <del>\$1,159,480</del> \$1,453,000 |          |
|            | Return to Others: \$0  |          |

Pedro Orso Delgado, Caltrans District 11 Director, reported on a direct sale agreement reached between the Department and the Children's Hospital in San Diego. The appraised price for the property is \$1,453,000. Mr. Blair Sadler spoke on behalf of the Children's Hospital. Commissioner Lawrence was concerned about the costs involved. Commissioner Chalker said that he personally viewed the area, discussed the transaction with Children's Hospital and the Department, and supports the agreement. Director Kempton noted that Caltrans is bound to sell excess lands at fair market value. Commissioner Ghielmetti said the Children's Hospital is doing a fabulous job but that the 805 hasn't finished final design and wanted to know if there was any possibility that someday the Department would have to come back and condemn the parking structure. Mr. Orso Delgado said based on the alternatives the Department would not need the parcel in question.

Commissioner Ghielmetti moved to approve. Commissioner Lindsey asked why District Director Delgado was presenting this right-of-way issue. Executive Director John Barna said that this was an extraordinary situation and that the Commissioners are sensitive to the needs of the Children's Hospital, but that the Commission does not intend this action to establish a precedent. Commissioner Lawrence seconded the motion, which carried 8-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

## Addendum

- |            |  |                 |
|------------|--|-----------------|
| <u>76.</u> | <b>■ Items #1 through #10</b>                    | <u>2.4d.(3)</u> |
|            | <b>Excess Lands – Return to State: \$394,000</b> |                 |
|            | <b>Return to Others: \$0</b>                     |                 |

This item was withdrawn prior to the meeting

- |     |  |     |
|-----|--|-----|
| 77. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• State Water Resources Control Board Presentation Regarding Regulations and Exception Process for Zero Discharge of Storm Water in Designated Areas of Special Biological Significance Along the California Coast</li> </ul> | 4.6 |
|-----|--|-----|

Dominic Gregorio, Water Resources Control Board, explained the State Water Resources Control Board's regulations based upon the State Control Act, Marine Managed Areas Improvement Act, and Special Protection of Areas of Special Biological Significance. Current regulations prohibit pollutants in storm water discharge into the ocean from special designated areas, but an exception process would grant agencies permission to discharge minimal pollutants under a strict iterative process to reduce the pollutants so that the environment is not harmed.

Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
-----------------	------------------	--------

78.                   • Caltrans Presentation Regarding Regulation by the State Water Quality Control Board for Zero Discharge of Storm Water in Designated Areas of Special Biological Significance Along the California Coast                   4.7

Jay Norvell, Caltrans, discussed the prohibition of discharge, then reviewed the Department's December 2 reply to SWRCB and the conditional exception request submitted on February 1, 2005. He provided an example of Redwood National and State Parks ASBS in the before and after condition. The Department thinks the prohibition should be modified, since treatment is appropriate to minimize pollutant discharge.

79.                   • Route 140 in Merced County – Replace overhead and upgrade highway facility in Merced (DEIR).                   2.2b.

Deputy Director Robert Chung, reviewed the document and commented that the project is not fully funded. Staff recommendation is to ask the Department to ensure that the remaining funds that are needed are programmed to ensure that no delay occurs on the project.

Commissioner Lawrence moved to approve the staff report. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 8-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

80.                   • State and Federal Legislative Matters                   4.1a.
- \*The Commission may consider bills or resolutions newly introduced, recently amended, or calendared for Legislative committee or floor action, subsequent to publication of this agenda. For further information, call Executive Director John Barna at (916) 654-4245.

Deputy Director Robert Chung, reviewed the various legislative actions, in particular those involving infrastructure bonds. Commissioner Ghielmetti encouraged all the Commissioner's to read AB 1550.

- **Provide Commissioners with a copy of Legislative Bill AB 1550.**

**ACTION: Robert Chung**

81.                   • Financial Allocation for Seven SHOPP Projects totaling \$23,767,000.                   2.5b.(1.1)  
Resolution FP-05-\_\_\_

Deputy Director Stephen Maller presented items #81 and 83, recommending that the Commission not allocate funds to the projects but move them to the pending allocation list.

Commissioner Lindsey moved to put the projects on the pending allocation list. Commissioner Bergeson seconded the motion, which carried 8-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

82.                   • Financial Allocation for One State-Administered STIP Project on the State Highway System for \$1,379,000.                   2.5c.(1.1)  
Resolution FP-05-\_\_\_

This item was withdrawn prior to the meeting.

83.                   • Financial Allocation for Three Locally-Administered STIP Projects off the State Highway System totaling \$1,286,000.                   2.5c.(3.1)  
Resolution FP-05-\_\_\_

See item #81.

Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
84.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Projects that exceed 20 Percent of the Programmed Amount. <del>Four</del> Three projects totaling <del>\$59,622,000</del> \$41,330,000. Resolution FP-05-58</li> <li>-- 01N-Men-20 – SHOPP project in Mendocino County. Current programmed amount is \$8,686,000 and current estimate is \$14,020,000, for an increase of 61% over the programmed amount.</li> <li>-- 04N-Ala-580 – SHOPP project in Alameda County. Current programmed amount is \$15,700,000 and current estimate is \$24,271,000, for an increase of 55% over the programmed amount.</li> <li><del>-- 07S-LA-2 – SHOPP project in Los Angeles County. Current programmed amount is \$14,292,000 and current estimate is \$18,274,000, for an increase of 28% over the programmed amount.</del></li> <li>-- 10N-SJ-26 – SHOPP project in San Joaquin County. Current programmed amount is \$2,348,000 and current estimate is \$3,039,000, for an increase of 29% over the programmed amount.</li> </ul>	2.5d.

This item was heard on Day One of the Meeting, February 1, 2006.

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, reviewed the item and the reasons for the increases.

Project #1, Mendocino County was reviewed by District 1 Director Charlie Fielder, a request to allocate \$14 million for roadway rehabilitation on Rt. 20 at 61% over the programmed amount. Mr. Fielder indicated that a considerable portion of the cost increase was attributable to traffic control. The Commissioners inquired if the project could be delayed so an analysis of alternate routes could be done. Mr. Fielder stated he would like to get the project done as soon as possible. Director Kempton indicated the Department can delay the project for one month and come back with an analysis. District Director Fielder agreed to bring this project back in March 2006 for a vote.

Project #2 is a request to allocate \$24.3 million to construct a truck climbing lane on RT 580 in Alameda County; this project is 55% over the programmed amount..

Project #3 was withdrawn prior to the meeting.

Project #4 is a request to allocate \$3 million to realign a curve on RT 26 in San Joaquin County; this project is 29% over the programmed amount.

Commissioner Ghielmetti moved to allocate funds to project #2 and #4 only. Commissioner Bergeson seconded the motion, which carried 5-1. Commissioner Lindsey voted no. (Commissioners Balgenorth, Lawrence and Hallisey were absent)

- Report back at the March Commission meeting with alternate route information and minimum costs to maintain reasonable traffic flow during construction for Project #1 overcrossing to Cold Creek Bridge in Mendocino.**

**ACTION: Ross Chittenden**

Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
85.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Financial Allocation for Supplemental Funds for Previously Voted Projects. Six projects totaling \$32,256,000. Resolution FA-05-08</li> <li>-- 03N-Nev-80 – SHOPP project in Nevada County. Current allotment is \$107,992,000. This request for \$2,600,000 to settle construction claims results in an increase of 3% over the original allocation.</li> <li>-- 04N-Son-101 – STIP project in Sonoma County. Current allotment is \$51,327,000. This request for \$19,200,000 to award the contract results in an increase of 37% over the original allocation.</li> <li>-- 05S-SB-101 – STIP project in Santa Barbara County. Current allocation is \$22,372,000. This request for \$9,651,000 to re-advertise the contract results in an increase of 43% over the original allocation.</li> <li>-- 10S-Cal-4 – STIP project in Calaveras County. Current allocation is \$2,772,000. This request for \$40,000 to settle construction claims results in an increase of 2% over the voted amount.</li> <li>-- 12S-Ora-1 – SHOPP project in Orange County. Current allocation is \$3,545,000. This request for \$350,000 to settle construction claims results in an increase of 15% over the voted amount.</li> <li>-- 12S-Ora-various – SHOPP project in Orange County. Current allocation is \$985,000. This request for \$415,000 to award the contract results in an increase of 42% over the voted amount.</li> </ul>	2.5e.(1)

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, reviewed this item one project at a time at the request of the Commissioners.

Project #1 – request to allocate \$2.6 million in supplemental funds to settle outstanding claims.

Commissioner Torres moved to approve. Commissioner Lawrence seconded the motion, which carried 8-0.

Project #2 – request to allocate \$19.3 million in supplemental funds to award a freeway widening project.

Commissioner Ghielmetti moved to approve. Commissioner Chalker seconded the motion, which carried 7-1. Commissioner Lindsey voted No. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent,)

Project #3 – request to allocate \$9.6 million in supplemental funds to re-advertise and award a freeway widening project.

Commissioner Bergeson moved to approve. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 7-1. Commissioner Lindsey voted No. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

Project #4 – request to allocate \$350,000 in supplemental funds to settle outstanding claims on a \$2.8 million passing lane project.

Commissioner Bergeson moved to approve. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 8-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

<u>Tab # /</u> <u>Time</u>	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>
-------------------------------	-------------------------	---------------

Project #5 – request to allocate \$40,000 in supplemental funds to settle outstanding claims on a \$2.8 million project to upgrade storm water control.

Commissioner Bergeson moved to approve. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 8-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

Project #6 – request to allocated \$415,000 in supplemental funds to award a ramp metering system project.

Commissioner Bergeson moved to approve. Commissioner Torres seconded the motion, which carried 8-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

- **Report back at the March Commission meeting on a consistent model statewide for the estimating process.**

**ACTION:** Ross Chittenden

- 86.** • Financial Allocation for Supplemental Funds for Previously Voted Projects. One project for \$2,500,000. Resolution FA-05-09 2.5e.(2)
- 03N-Nev-80 - SHOPP project in Nevada County. Current allotment is \$5,770,000. This request for \$2,500,000 to award the contract results in an increase of 43% over the original allocation.

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, reviewed the project. District 3 Director Jody Jones provided background detail regarding the rest area.

Commissioner Lawrence moved to approve with caveat that the Department bring back a study on rest areas. Commissioner Torres seconded the motion, which failed 3-4. Commissioners Bergeson, Chalker, Ghielmetti and Lindsey voted no. (Commissioner Balgenorth and Hallisey were absent)

- **Study aging rest stops and report these findings at the SHOPP presentation scheduled for the March Commission meeting. Include information on the number of roadside rest stops, the number of visitors, the capital needs, and the Central Valley's public/private roadside projects. Provide Commissioners and staff with a copy of this report as soon as it's available.**

**ACTION:** Ross Chittenden/John Barna

Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
87.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Financial Allocation for Supplemental Funds for Previously Voted Projects. Three projects totaling <del>\$32,740,000</del> \$29,494,000. Resolution FA-05-10</li> </ul> <p>-- 04-Mar-101 – STIP project in Marin County. Current allocation is \$42,875,000. This request for \$14,126,000 to award the construction contract results in an increase of 33% over the voted amount.</p> <p>-- 07-LA-60/71 – SHOPP project in Los Angeles County. Current allocation is \$8,012,000. This request for \$5,979,000 to award the construction contract results in an increase of 75% over the voted amount.</p> <p>-- 08-Riv-60 – STIP project in Riverside County. Current allocation is \$7,840,000. This request for \$12,635,000 to award the construction contract results in an increase of 161% over the voted amount.</p>	2.5e.(3)
88.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation for One Local STIP Project for \$3,000,000 for the Orange County Transportation Authority. Waiver-06-01</li> </ul>	2.8a.

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, reviewed this item and asked for approval.

The Commissioners requested that each project be acted on individually and stated that all future supplemental allocation requests from the Department be presented in written form in the Commission Book.

Project #1 – request to allocate \$10.9 million in supplemental funds to award a project to widen Rt. 101 in Marin County. Mike Silva, Mitchell Engineering stated that he was the low bid and wanted to know why he was not eligible to receive the job. It was explained that Caltrans disqualified his bid for cause, and that bidder eligibility disputes are beyond the purview of the Commission. Mike Ghilotti, Ghilotti Brothers, said that he was the low bidder on the project, that it's a priority project in Marin, and a difficult job with an 800 calendar day completion time. Diane Steinhouser, Marin Transportation Authority, asked that the Commission allocate funds to the project.

Commissioner Chalker moved to allocate funds to the project. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 6-0-1. Commissioner Lindsey abstained. (Commissioners Balgenorth and Hallisey were absent)

Project #2 – request to allocate \$6 million in supplemental funds to award a maintenance facility project. Commissioner Torres moved to approve the project. Commissioner Lawrence seconded the motion, which carried 6-1. Commissioner Ghielmetti voted no. (Commissioners Balgenorth and Hallisey were absent)

Project #3 – request to allocate \$12.6 million in supplemental funds to award freeway widening project. Mike Perovich, District 8 Director, said that it was a long job on Rt. 60, and fills the gap in the HOV system. Eric Haley, Riverside County Transportation Commission, said that it's the lack of aggregate that is driving up the price. Commissioner Lindsey moved to approve. Commissioner Torres seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioners Balgenorth and Hallisey were absent)

Deputy Director Stephen Maller reviewed the item and recommended that the Commission only grant a 6-month extension to December 31, 2006.

Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
-----------------	------------------	--------

Commissioner Lindsey moved to approve the staff recommendation. Commissioner Chalker seconded the motion, which carried 8-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

89.           • Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award for ~~Five~~ 2.8b.(1)  
Four Local STIP Projects totaling ~~\$8,239,000~~ \$7,839,000,  
per FY 2005-06 Allocation Plan Criteria.  
Waiver-06-02

Deputy Director Stephen Maller reviewed items 89 through 92 together and stated that project # 1 under item 89 was awarded and no longer needed an extension. Project # 2 under item 90 was requesting a 6-month extension not a 3-month extension as stated in the written book item. Mr. Maller recommended the Commission grant the time extensions as requested and/or corrected but grant only a 6-month extension to project # 9 under item 90 not the 9-months requested.

Commissioner Lindsey moved to approve the staff recommendation. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 8-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

90.           • Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award for Ten 2.8b.(2)  
SHOPP Projects totaling \$51,307,000 and Four STIP  
Projects totaling \$176,000,000, per FY 2005-06 Allocation  
Plan Criteria.  
Waiver-06-03

This item was heard with item 89.

91.           • Request to Extend the Period of Project Completion for 2.8c.  
One Rural Transit System Grant Program Project for  
\$32,000 for the City of Red Bluff.  
Waiver-06-04

This item was heard with item 89.

92.           • Request for Waiver of Assembly Bill 1012 Sixth Cycle 2.8g.  
"Use it or Lose it" Provisions for Regional Agency  
Apportioned Federal Funds for two agencies with three  
projects totaling \$1,265,287.  
Waiver-06-05

This item was heard with item 89.

93.           • Financial Allocation Adjustment of \$500,286,000 for 2.5h.  
FY 2005-06 Local Assistance Lump Sum Allocation  
Resolution FM-05-03, Amending Resolution FM-04-06

This item was withdrawn prior to the meeting.

94.           • STIP Amendment 04S-084 2.1b  
The City of Goleta (City) is requesting this amendment.  
The City proposes to change the implementing agency for  
the R/W component on the Ellwood Overhead project  
(PPNO 1840) from the City to the Department and also  
proposes to reprogram \$111,000 of RIP from R/W in  
FY 2005-06 to R/W Support and R/W in FY 2006-07.

This item was withdrawn prior to the meeting.

<u>Tab # / Time</u>	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>
-------------------------	-------------------------	---------------

- |     |  |      |
|-----|--|------|
| 95. | • Department of Water Resources' Status of Seismic Retrofit of Bridges | 4.11 |
|-----|--|------|

Teresa Sutliff, Department of Water Resources (DWR), reviewed the status of the 24 DWR-controlled, seismically deficient bridges. Ms. Sutliff reported that DWR owns 9 of the bridges and the US Bureau of Reclamation (USBR) is the owner of the other 15 bridges. USBR will analyze its 15 bridges and DWR will analyze its 9 bridges. DWR secured funding for preliminary engineering of its 9 bridges in the 2005 State Budget and will complete all analysis by July 2006. USBR will complete analysis of its 15 bridges by November 2006.

- **Provide Mary Lea Filbert with a copy of the Department of Water Resources PowerPoint that was presented at the Commission meeting for the Commissioner's master file. Also provide Stephen Maller and Karla Sutliff with a copy of this report.**

**ACTION: Debra Pritchard**

**OTHER MATTERS / PUBLIC COMMENT**

Chair Tavaglione asked Assistant Deputy Director Kathie Jacobs to come forward. The Commissioners presented Ms. Jacobs a plaque in recognition of her State service and wished her well in her retirement. Ms. Jacobs thanked the Commission and staff, saying that she was going to miss them all but could not wait to move into retirement.

**ADJOURN**

The meeting of the California Transportation Commission adjourned at 3:30 p.m.

---

JOHN F. BARNA, Jr., Executive Director



**STATUS OF ACTION ITEMS**  
From  
February 1-2, 2006 Commission Meeting

- 4 (1.6) Ross Chittenden – Provide the status of federal reimbursement for storm damage information to Commissioners and staff.
- **This will be done.**
- 6 (3.1) Norma Ortega – Provide more information to the Commissioners and staff regarding accelerated program expenditures.
- **This will be done.**
- 6 (3.1) Ross Chittenden – Provide Commissioners and staff with an update on the GARVEE program. Also provide an updated cash forecast.
- **This will be discussed under March Tab 73, Agenda Item 3.1.**
- 7 (4.10) Ross Chittenden – Provide Commissioners and staff with a hard copy of the presentation on Governor's budget as presented at the Commission meeting.
- **This will be discussed under March Tab 5, Agenda Item 4.11.**
- 7 (4.10) John Barna/David Brewer – Report back at the March Commission meeting with recommendations on allocations. (*March Agenda Item*)
- **This will be discussed under March Tab 5, Agenda Item 4.11.**
- 8 (4.12) John Barna/David Brewer – Review with the Executive Committee and prepare a response by March 10 to Senator Don Perata's letter outlining the Commission's policy concerns regarding the Governor's transportation bonding proposal, including goods movement, and share with entire Legislature.
- **This has been done.**
- 9 (1.3) Mary Lea Filbert – Update the Commission's letterhead, rosters, web information, nameplates and business cards to reflect the names of the newly appointed Chair and Vice Chair effective March 1, 2006.
- **This will be done.**
- 9 (1.3) Mary Lea Filbert – Distribute and have posted on web the Revised 2006 Meeting Schedule as adopted at the February Commission meeting.
- **This has been done.**

- 9 (1.3) John Barna/Maura Twomey – Set up a subcommittee to clean up the Commission’s operating procedures and review the Administrative Law process and report back at a future Commission meeting. (*Future Agenda Item*)
- **This will be done and reported on at future Commission meeting.**
- 14 (2.5f(5)) John Barna – Review with staff and report back regarding delegated supplementals. The amount approved is greater than what is being requested.
- **The Executive Director and the Executive Committee approved only one delegated supplemental in February. Approving only one delegated supplemental is significant progress over the previous month.**
- 19 (4.8) Greg Wong/Rick Land – Provide copies of the annual report on the Design-Sequencing Pilot Program to Commissioners and staff. In the future, provide more clarity on these reports.
- **This has been done.**
- 19 (4.8) Ross Chittenden – Provide letter to Commissioners and staff with information to include time and money values on delivering design-sequencing projects early and late.
- **This will be done.**
- 23 (3.2) Ross Chittenden – Provide the Commissioners and staff the value awarded to the Monthly Status of Projects Previously Voted not Awarded report.
- **This will be discussed under March Tab 79, Agenda Item 3.3a.**
- 64 (4.3) Ross Chittenden/Greg Wong – Provide the Commissioners and staff with a map of the Airports and include an explanation of the relationship between the different agencies.
- **This will be done.**
- 65 (4.5) Ross Chittenden/Stephen Maller – Return at the March meeting with a rewritten report including additional information as requested by Commissioners. (*March Agenda Item*)
- **This will be discussed under March Tab 86, Agenda Item 4.4.**
- 80 (4.1a) Robert Chung – Provide Commissioners with a copy of Legislative Bill AB 1550.
- **This has been done.**
- 84 (2.5d) Ross Chittenden – Report back at the March Commission meeting with alternate route information and minimum costs to maintain reasonable traffic flow during construction for Project #1 overcrossing to Cold Creek Bridge in Mendocino. (*March Agenda Item*)

- **This will be discussed under March Tab 59, Project #1; Agenda Item 2.5d.(2).**

85 (2.5e(1)) Ross Chittenden – Report back at the March Commission meeting on a consistent model statewide for the estimating process. (*March Agenda Item*)

- **This will be discussed under March Tab 58, Agenda Item 3.13.**

86 (2.5e(2)) Ross Chittenden/John Barna – Study aging rest stops and report these findings at the SHOPP presentation scheduled for the March Commission meeting. Include information on the number of roadside rest stops, the number of visitors, the capital needs, and the Central Valley's public/private roadside projects. Provide Commissioners and staff with a copy of this report as soon as it is available. (*March Agenda Item*)

- **This will be discussed under March Tab 84, Agenda Item 4.9.**

95 (4.11) Debra Pritchard – Provide Mary Lea Filbert with copy of the Department of Water Resources PowerPoint that was presented at the Commission meeting for the Commission's master file. Also provide Stephen Maller and Karla Sutliff with a copy of this report. (*Annual Agenda item*)

- **A copy of the powerpoint has been received by CTC staff. The Department of Water Resources to report on an annual basis on the Status of Seismic Retrofit of Bridges.**